

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/029,712

Applicant(s)

SCHUTT ET AL.

Examiner

Michael G. Hartley

Art Unit

1616

All Participants:(1) Michael G. Hartley.(2) John Wurst (appl. repr.).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of interview: 13 July 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Confirmed abandonment, as applicant representative could not provide evidence, (such as, a post card receipt) that a response had been timely filed and received by the PTO. No evidence of a response was seen by PTO records (e.g., no extension of time fees were charged to the application)..